December 9, 2019

The Honorable Mitch McConnell  
Senate Majority Leader  
S-230, U.S. Capitol  
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Charles Schumer  
Senate Minority Leader  
S-221, U.S. Capitol  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Leader McConnell and Leader Schumer,

We, the undersigned members of the House of Representatives committed to equality for members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) community, urge you to oppose the confirmation of Lawrence VanDyke to serve on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

A fair and impartial judiciary is the cornerstone of our democracy. *Every* American should expect and receive equal justice under the law. Mr. VanDyke’s record raises serious questions about his ability to fulfill that expectation. The American Bar Association’s Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary determined that Mr. VanDyke is “Not Qualified” to serve on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit based in part on serious concerns over whether Mr. VanDyke could be a neutral and unbiased arbiter of matters involving the LGBTQ community. The ABA report warned that, “Mr. VanDyke would not say affirmatively that he would be fair to any litigant before him, notably members of the LGBTQ community.”

The ABA report mirrors Mr. VanDyke’s personal statements denigrating the LGBTQ community. Mr. VanDyke wrote an op-ed in 2004 that peddled the abhorrent and discredited myth that same-sex marriage will “hurt families, and consequentially children and society.”

When asked whether he stands by those statements, Mr. VanDyke refused to disavow them in both his hearing and in his responses to the questions for the record.

The fact that there are Members of Congress who may still believe, despite well-established evidence to the contrary, that LGBTQ people are less capable of providing loving homes for

---


children is beside the point. When it comes to our federal courts, the American people are entitled to judges who will rule based on the law and the facts before them. There is no place for extreme political ideologues on the federal bench. It strains credulity to suggest that Mr. Van Dyke “can turn on a dime” and administer impartial justice to LGBTQ litigants after a lifetime of working against their equal dignity and peddling hateful and bigoted myths about LGBTQ families and relationships.

Mr. VanDyke has spent much of his professional life focused on dismantling protections for LGBTQ people. As Montana Solicitor General, Mr. VanDyke worked to persuade Montana’s Attorney General to sign on to at least six amicus briefs in support of same-sex marriage bans and one amicus brief in support of the Defense of Marriage Act. Mr. VanDyke helped to revise and, in his words, “make [] stronger” an amicus brief in support of a business that refused to serve a same-sex couple because of the couple’s sexual orientation, and later, he recommended that Montana sign on to the brief. Mr. VanDyke tried to encourage interest among his colleagues by writing, “[t]his is an important case because there is a fairly obvious collision course between religious freedom and gay rights” and that the case is important to establish that “gay rights cannot always trump religious liberty.”

Mr. VanDyke’s record raises serious concerns about his ability to be a neutral and unbiased arbiter of the law. While Members of the House of Representatives play no official role in the confirmation process of federal judges, his choices and statements have demonstrated that he is unfit for a lifetime appointment to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Accordingly, we urge you to reject his nomination.

Sincerely,

Susie Lee

SUSIE LEE

DINA TITUS
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